

Thank you, Mr. President. I yield the floor.

VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT OF 2000

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, in 1994 we passed the original Violence Against Women Act, creating programs that addressed the many forms of domestic violence all-too prevalent in the United States today. The bill helped communities create shelters, build partnerships among law enforcement agencies to respond to violence against women, and provide legal assistance to battered women. The bill also established a domestic violence hotline that receives hundreds of calls daily from people concerned about violence in their families. Now, we have the opportunity and responsibility to reauthorize this legislation to give women and children a way out of violent and unhealthy situations.

For groups that strive to combat domestic violence, the original Violence Against Women Act was a turning point in their battle. In my state, the West Virginia Coalition Against Domestic Violence stands as an outstanding example of the great work that groups devoted to the noble cause of stamping out domestic violence can do when Congress acts appropriately. With the added funding provided by the Violence Against Women Act, the Coalition was able to quadruple its staff, increase the budgets of its shelters to meet their day-to-day needs, and increase services to under-served parts of the population of West Virginia. Many of the women who escape from violent homes cannot afford legal services, but thanks to grants authorized under the Violence Against Women Act, thirteen civil legal assistance programs are now in place around West Virginia providing free representation for women.

The Coalition also computerized its entire network, enabling instant communication with offices in other parts of rural West Virginia. By creating a database that compiles information on offenders from all over the state, they were able to work with regional jails, sheriffs, and other law enforcement agencies to use this valuable resource. I am proud to say that several other states have used West Virginia's system as a model, helping to combat domestic violence within their borders.

Passing the Violence Against Women Act of 2000 not only sustains existing programs, but creates several new initiatives that extend help to different groups and communities. The bill establishes a new formula for calculating some of the grants, enabling small states like West Virginia to continue to expand their services. In addition, it augments current policies with protections for older and disabled women, and builds on legal assistance programs to further expand coverage.

Perhaps most importantly, the passage of this legislation conveys the important message that the federal government considers domestic violence to be a serious issue. Those of us in Congress share in this concern with the people we serve. We can take some pride that by acting to address these problems, we may have moved some State governments to improve their services to abused spouses and children, and to increase the penalties meted out to the abusers.

By paying attention to this enormously important issue, and by enhancing the current legislation, we are taking steps in the right direction. Although the measures in the original legislation have helped to alleviate the problem, we must continue to wage a persistent fight as long as anyone feels unsafe in their homes.

FY 2000 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, on the Friday before the July 4 recess, the Senate passed the military construction appropriations bill, which included the supplemental spending package, by voice vote. Although there were a number of meritorious items in that bill, if there had been an up or down vote, I would have voted against it for a number of reasons.

I was extremely disappointed in the Conferees' decision to drop the \$5 million in emergency methamphetamine cleanup funds from the supplemental package.

There was strong support for this provision from both Democrats and Republicans. And it was included in both the House and Senate supplemental packages.

So, it doesn't make sense why it was suddenly dropped—especially when we're talking about dangerous chemical sites that are left exposed in our local communities. Without this provision, the bill provides hundreds of millions to help a foreign country fight a drug war, but turns a blind eye to one of the biggest drug problems right in our own back yards. That is unacceptable.

Our failure to fund the cleanup of these labs is all the more disappointing because this bill is bloated with pork. There is \$700 million here for the Coast Guard alone, including \$45 million for a C-37A aircraft for the Coast Guard. The C-37 is a Gulfstream V executive jet. It's not even your average corporate jet, but one of the most expensive, top-of-the-line crafts.

Why should the American taxpayers pay \$45 million so the Coast Guard officers can fly in luxury, when the military has trouble keeping its planes aloft because they lack spare parts? There is a drug crisis in this country and an immediate need for funds for peacekeeping operations, but that's no

reason to buy luxury jets in an emergency spending bill.

Mr. President, without the meth funding, states and local communities will have to bear the burden of cleaning up these highly toxic sites that are found every day in Iowa and throughout the Midwest, West and Southwest.

In recent years, the Drug Enforcement Agency has provided critical financial assistance to help clean up these dangerous sites, which can cost thousands of dollars each.

Unfortunately, in March, the DEA ran out of funds to provide methamphetamine lab cleanup assistance to state and local law enforcement. That's because last year, this funding was cut in half while the number of meth labs found and confiscated has been growing.

In late May, the Administration shifted \$5 million in funds from other Department of Justice Accounts to pay for emergency meth lab cleanup. And I believe that will help reimburse these states for the costs they have incurred since the DEA ran out of money. My state of Iowa has already paid some \$300,000 of its own pocket for cleanup since March.

However, we've got months to go before the new fiscal year—and the number of meth labs being found and confiscated are still on the rise. My \$5 million provision in this emergency spending package would have provided enough money to pay for costly meth lab cleanup without forcing states to take money out of their other tight law enforcement budgets.

If we can find the money to fight drugs in Colombia, we should be able to find the money to fight drugs in our own backyard. We should not risk exposing these dangerous meth sites to our communities.

So I urge the Senate to support adding the \$5 million in emergency meth cleanup funds to the FY 2001 Foreign Operations spending bill or another appropriations vehicle. It is unfair to force our state and local communities to shoulder this financial burden alone.

NOMINATION OF MADELYN CREEDON

Ms. LANDRIEU. Mr. President, I wish to add my voice to that of my colleagues on behalf of Madelyn Creedon's nomination. She has been selected by the President to become the first Deputy Administrator for defense programs in the new National Nuclear Security Administration, NNSA, at the Department of Energy. I had the privilege of working closely with Madelyn while she served on the minority staff for the Strategic Forces Subcommittee. I have great respect for her ability and judgment, and I'm confident she will do an excellent job for General Gordon and the country. In addition to being skillful and reliable,

Madelyn's knowledge of DOE issues is absolutely unsurpassed. Besides her work on the Senate Armed Services Committee, she was the Associate Deputy Secretary of Energy for National Security Programs at DOE, General Counsel for the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission, majority Counsel for the Senate Armed Services Committee under the Chairmanship of Senator Sam Nunn, and finally, trial attorney and Acting Assistant General Counsel with the DOE. Her entire career has prepared her for this important assignment, and it should be no surprise that the President asked her to help lay the foundation for the success of the NNSA. As a member of the Senate, you rarely get the opportunity to vote on the nomination of someone you have observed as closely as I have observed Madelyn. Having done so, I lend her my unqualified support. Mr. President, I have but to note the vote of support by the members of the Armed Services Committee. The high esteem that I hold Madelyn is reflected throughout. This Chamber will be proud of its vote today, and we will be lucky to have Madelyn serve her country in this capacity. I congratulate Madelyn and her family. I will miss having her guidance and work ethic on the Strategic Subcommittee. However, our loss is truly the country's gain.

THE VERY BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, at the close of business yesterday, Monday, July 10, 2000, the Federal debt stood at \$5,662,949,608,628.38 (Five trillion, six hundred sixty-two billion, nine hundred forty-nine million, six hundred eight thousand, six hundred twenty-eight dollars and thirty-eight cents).

Five years ago, July 10, 1995, the Federal debt stood at \$4,924,015,000,000 (Four trillion, nine hundred twenty-four billion, fifteen million).

Ten years ago, July 10, 1990, the Federal debt stood at \$3,153,274,000,000 (Three trillion, one hundred fifty-three billion, two hundred seventy-four million).

Fifteen years ago, July 10, 1985, the Federal debt stood at \$1,794,793,000,000 (One trillion, seven hundred ninety-four billion, seven hundred ninety-three million).

Twenty-five years ago, July 10, 1975, the Federal debt stood at \$531,474,000,000 (Five hundred thirty-one billion, four hundred seventy-four million) which reflects a debt increase of more than \$5 trillion—\$5,131,475,608,628.38 (Five trillion, one hundred thirty-one billion, four hundred seventy-five million, six hundred eight thousand, six hundred twenty-eight dollars and thirty-eight cents) during the past 25 years.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

RETIREMENT OF PETER J. LIACOURAS

• Mr. SANTORUM. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize a dear friend who retired after an outstanding tenure at one of our great public research universities. On June 30, 2000, Peter J. Liacouras stepped down as President of Temple University in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania after eighteen years of service in this capacity.

A Temple professor of Law for almost 40 years and a former Dean of Temple University's Beasley School of Law, Mr. Liacouras served as the University's chief executive since June of 1982. Under his leadership, Temple University achieved national and international prominence as a center for research, teaching, and public service.

With vision and confidence, he presided over a university with nearly 29,000 students; a world-class faculty; 16,000 full-time and part-time employees; a renowned Health Sciences Center, the Temple University Health System, Inc., with seven hospitals and two nursing homes; 210,000 proud graduates throughout the world; an annual budget of more than \$1 billion; successful, long-established campuses in Rome, Italy, and Tokyo, Japan; and educational programs in Great Britain, France, Jamaica, Greece, Israel, Ghana, the People's Republic of China, and other nations.

Throughout his career at Temple, Mr. Liacouras worked vigorously and tirelessly in the pursuit of excellence. The bedrock of his administration was a commitment to improving undergraduate, graduate, and professional education within his institution, and he restructured Temple's schools and colleges to meet the needs of students and the world they enter after graduation.

He was an advocate of opening colleges and universities to persons from historically underrepresented groups—an effort which led to Temple becoming the first university to receive the U.S. Labor Department's coveted Exemplary Voluntary Effort (EVE) Award. As Dean of the Law School, this son of Greek immigrants earned national recognition for developing fair and sensible admissions policies for professional schools.

President Liacouras was also a leader in bringing change to his University and anticipating even greater change in the future. His "Report to the Board of Trustees on Strategic Initiatives" helped Temple reposition itself in a radically changing environment for higher education. With his direction, the University launched Virtual Temple, a for-profit subsidiary to market courses on the Internet.

He dramatically improved his university's town-gown relationship with its

surrounding communities. While strengthening Temple's overseas educational programs, he led the way for the University and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to invest in the University's Main Campus, with such projects as the Temple University Children's Medical Center, The Liacouras Center, The Tuttleman Learning Center, and the Independence Blue Cross Student Recreation Center.

His strategic vision for the Main Campus helped revitalize North Central Philadelphia. As a result, community residents are seeing new housing and new retail and entertainment projects in their neighborhoods—and Temple is experiencing an unprecedented influx of talented students who want an education in a great city.

Mr. President, I doubt that few institutions could rival Temple University for its accomplishments and progress during the remarkable stewardship of President Liacouras. I would like to thank my friend for his extraordinary success in leading Temple University to new heights of greatness as one of America's important centers of higher education.●

TRIBUTE TO NATALIE DAVIS SPINGARN

• Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, on June 6, 2000, we lost a very courageous, brilliant, and dedicated American, Natalie Davis Spingarn. A noted writer, public servant, and leading advocate for cancer patients, Natalie was also a good friend who I miss greatly. She suffered many health problems over the years, but she lived her life with purpose, grace, and humor. Natalie built on her own experience as a cancer patient to lead the cancer survivor movement and to work for improved care and services for cancer patients.

I met Natalie in 1963, when she was the press secretary for the late Senator Abraham Ribicoff and I was a summer intern. Natalie made a great impression on me then and, quite a few years later, Natalie served as a senior intern in my Senate office where she contributed her wealth of experience and knowledge to my efforts in the area of health policy. Natalie was a trusted adviser, who endeared herself to my staff and me with her wisdom, energy, compassion, and wit.

Mr. President, I would like to call the attention of my colleagues to a wonderful article about Natalie Spingarn that appeared on June 7 in *The Washington Post*. Natalie was a frequent contributor to the Health section of the *Post*, and I know she would be proud to see Bart Barnes' tribute reprinted in the *CONGRESSIONAL RECORD*. The tribute follows:

AUTHOR NATALIE DAVIS SPINGARN DIES
(By Bart Barnes)

Natalie Davis Spingarn, 78, an author and former federal official who for 26 years had